

1 WILLIAM F. TARANTINO (CA SBN 215343)  
2 W.Tarantino@mofo.com  
3 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
4 425 Market Street  
5 San Francisco, California 94105-2482  
6 Telephone: 415.268.7000  
7 Facsimile: 415.268.7522

8 BRITTANY SCHEINOK (CA SBN 306522)  
9 BScheinok@mofo.com  
10 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
11 12531 High Bluff Drive Suite 100  
12 San Diego, California 92130-2040  
13 Telephone: 858.720.5100  
14 Facsimile: 858.720.5125

15 Attorneys for Defendant  
16 APPLE INC.

17

18 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
19 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
20 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

21

22 DOMINIQUE CAVALIER, and KILEY  
23 KRZYZEK, individually and on behalf of all  
24 others similarly situated,

25

26 Plaintiffs,

27

28 v.

29

30 APPLE INC.,

31

32 Defendant.

33 Case No. 5:25-cv-713-PCP

34 **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]**  
35 **ORDER EXTENDING RESPONSIVE**  
36 **PLEADING DEADLINE AND**  
37 **SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE**

38 Judge: Hon. P. Casey Pitts

39 Magistrate Judge: Virginia K. DeMarchi

40 Complaint Filed: January 21, 2025

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiffs Dominique Cavalier and Kiley Krzyzek  
2 (“Plaintiffs”) and Defendant Apple Inc. (“Apple”), by and through their undersigned counsel,  
3 stipulate as follows:

4 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed the Class Action Complaint (“Complaint”) on January 21, 2025  
5 (ECF No. 1);

6 WHEREAS, Apple was served with a copy of the Complaint on January 23, 2025;

7 WHEREAS, under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12, Apple’s deadline to respond to the  
8 Complaint is February 13, 2025;

9 WHEREAS, the parties have agreed that the deadline for Apple to respond to the Complaint  
10 shall be extended by sixty (60) days, until April 14, 2025;

11 WHEREAS, an extension to and including April 14, 2025 will allow Apple time to analyze  
12 the allegations in the Complaint;

13 WHEREAS, the parties have agreed that Plaintiffs will have thirty (30) days, until May 14,  
14 2025, to file an opposition to Apple’s responsive pleading;

15 WHEREAS, the parties have agreed that Apple will have twenty-one (21) days, until June 4,  
16 2025, to file a reply in support of Apple’s responsive pleading;

17 WHEREAS, this is the first extension requested in this case;

18 WHEREAS, the extension of time for Apple to respond to the Complaint and the responsive  
19 pleading briefing schedule will not alter the date of any event or deadline fixed by the Court;

21 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY AGREED AND STIPULATED that:

- 22 1. Apple’s deadline to respond to Plaintiffs’ Complaint shall be extended by sixty (60)  
23 days, until April 14, 2025.
- 24 2. Plaintiffs will have thirty (30) days, until May 14, 2025, to file an opposition to Apple’s  
25 responsive pleading.
- 26 3. Apple will have twenty-one (21) days, until June 4, 2025, to file a reply in support of  
27 Apple’s responsive pleading.

28 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINE AND SETTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE  
CASE NO. 5:25-cv-713-PCP

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED.  
2

3 Dated: February 12, 2025

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

5 By: /s/ William F. Tarantino  
6 WILLIAM F. TARANTINO

7 Attorneys for Defendant  
8 APPLE INC.

9 Dated: February 12, 2025

10 CLARKSON LAW FIRM, P.C.

11 By: /s/ Yana Hart  
12 YANA HART

13 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

14

15 **ECF ATTESTATION**

16 I, William F. Tarantino, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this  
17 document. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that Yana Hart has  
18 concurred in this filing.

19 Dated: February 12, 2025

20 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

21

22 By: /s/ William F. Tarantino  
23 WILLIAM F. TARANTINO

24 Attorneys for Defendant  
25 APPLE INC.

## **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:

---

HON. P. CASEY PITTS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE